VER	ORIGI	NAL	FILED IN OPEN COURT
	المراجعة الم		April 11th 2028 3:24,
٠.	DISTRICT	COURT	STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT
	CLARK COUN	TY, NEVA	ADA Francisco Peyes
CAESA	ARS ENTERPRISE SERVICES,	Case No.:	A-18-769136-B
LLC, a	Delaware limited liability	Dept. No.	: XV
	Plaintiff,	SPECIAL	L JURY VERDICT
vs.			
TIPPIN	NG POINT GAMING, LLC, a		
11	a limited liability company,		A-18-769136-B
	Defendant.		VER Verdict 5129174
	Defendant.		
AND F	RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.	, .	
	action A. Timping Daint's Claim	- for Press	h of the Implied Covenant
- 11	ection A – Tipping Point's Clain 'aith and Fair Dealing	i iui bieac	in of the implied Covenant
	Question No. 1 Did Tipping P	oint prove	by a preponderance of the
1	te that Caesars Enterprise Services		
	e mar caesars imprise services	, ===	
	nt of good faith and fair dealing?		
covenar	nt of good faith and fair dealing? Answer: Yes	No X	,
covenar	answer: Yes		
covenar A	Answer: Yes f your answer to Question 1 is "yes	", then ansv	
covenar A I: to Ques	answer: Yes	", then ansv n B.	wer Question 2. If your answ
covenar A I: to Ques	Answer: Yes f your answer to Question 1 is "yes getion 1 is "no", then skip to Section	", then ansv n B.	wer Question 2. If your answ

1	Section B – Tipping Point's Claim for Fraud				
2	Question No. 3 Did Tipping Point prove by clear and convincing evidence				
3	that Caesars committed fraud by intentional misrepresentation or false promise?				
4	Answer: Yes No _X				
5	If your answer to Question 3 is "yes", then answer Question 4. If your answer				
6	to Question 3 is "no", then skip to Section C.				
7	Question No. 4 What damages, if any, are to be awarded to Tipping Point?				
8	\$				
9	Proceed to Section C.				
10	Section C – Tipping Point's Claim for Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage				
11					
12	Question No. 5. Did Tipping Point prove by a preponderance of the evidence				
13	that Caesars intentionally interfered with the Planet Bingo Transaction?				
14	Answer: Yes No				
15	If your answer to Question 5 is "yes", then answer Question 6. If your answer				
16	to Question 5 is "no", then proceed to Section D.				
17	Question No. 6. What damages, if any, are to be awarded to Tipping Point?				
18	\$ 15,000,000				
19	Proceed to Section D.				
20	Section D – Tipping Point's Request for Punitive Damages				
21	If you answered "yes" to Question 3 or 5, then answer Question 7. If you				
22	answered "no" to Questions 3 and 5, then proceed to Section E.				
23	Question No. 7 Did Tipping Point prove by clear and convincing evidence				
24	that Caesars was guilty of malice, oppression, and/or fraud in interfering with the				
25	Planet Bingo Transaction or in making a false promise or representation?				
26	Answer: Yes X No				
27	Proceed to Section E.				

Section E.

You are finished. After this verdict form has been signed, notify the bailiff that you are ready to present your verdict in the courtroom.

Dated this _____ day of April, 2025.

Jury Foreperson

1 /

		A ov	11 11 11 2025 4:427				
1	VER ORIGINAL		STEVEN D. GRIERSON CLERK OF THE COURT				
2			Doules				
3	DISTRICT	COURSP	Francisco Reyes DEPUT				
4	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA						
5	CAESARS ENTERPRISE SERVICES, LLC, a Delaware limited liability	Case No.: A-1 Dept. No.: XV	8-769136-B				
7	company,						
8	Plaintiff,		RY VERDICT nitive Damages)				
9	vs.		3 /				
10	v5.						
11	TIPPING POINT GAMING, LLC, a	1					
12	Nevada limited liability company,		A – 18 – 769136 – B				
13	Defendant.		VER Verdict 5129173				
14							
15	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.		111 181 34 36 38 1 31 32 34 34 34 34 34 34 34				
16		ı					
17 18	What amount of punitive damages is to be awarded against Caesars?						
19							
20	\$ 5,000,000						
21							
22	Dated this day of April, 2025.						
23	() on athom Lallate						
24		Jury Foreper					
25							
26							
27							
28							
	II						

FILED IN OPEN COURT